Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/589,633	NEUGEBAUER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Traini W. Troiding	6,61		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of t	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS -				
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further coll (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);		
appeal; and/or				
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **			
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16 and 24-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:				
	/Karin M. Reichle/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3761		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims, e.g., to claims 1 and 26, and the addition of claims 27-28 would require further consideration and/or search and thereby, are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. The arguments directed to the proposed but not entered claim language is thereby deemed not persuasive. See also 4. infra.